



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,859	06/21/2001	Hoang Tan Tran	41676/JMC/B600	6112	
32294 7590 10/21/2004 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			YANCHUS	III, PAUL B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2116		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/886,859	TRAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul B Yanchus	2116			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resepty within the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	oply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	<u>July 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	4) \[\bar{\tau} \] \tau_{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau	ummaru (PTO 413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

This final office action is in response to arguments filed on 7/23/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 11-17 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142.

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Bar-Niv teaches a method and apparatus for regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network comprising:

monitoring data [incoming pulses] received by the transceiver to detect the presence or absence of a received data signal [column 1, lines 57-67]; and

controlling a transceiver state machine [energy-on state machine] to regulate transceiver power consumption in response to the presence or absence of the data received [column 2, lines 32-49].

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1] and upon

detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time [256 ms], controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of normal operating power consumption [106 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-15 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal [LINK_ON] for the first predetermined time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at normal operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 11-19 and 29-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received includes comparing a received data signal [differential voltage, column 4, lines 25-46] from the communications network with a reference signal [300 mV, column 4, lines 25-46] and controlling the transceiver state machine when a magnitude of the received data signal exceeds the reference signal [column 2, lines 50-67].

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized operating power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the absence of a received signal for the first predetermined time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized

Art Unit: 2116

operating power [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0].

Page 4

Regarding claims 11 and 23, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal for a predetermined standby time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at normal operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Regarding claims 12 and 24, Bar-Niv teaches monitoring data received during a time period of minimized power consumption [104 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 16-20 and 29-32, transceiver circuitry is powered down when ENERGYON is at level 0], and upon detecting the presence of a received signal for a second predetermined time subsequent to the predetermined standby time, controlling the transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption to be at minimized operating power [100 in Figure 4 and column 6, lines 21-32, power is supplied to transceiver circuitry when ENERGYON is at level 1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859 Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

Claims 6-10 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-Niv, US Patent no. 6,442,142. in view of, Uppunda et al., US Patent no. 6,678,728.

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Bar-Niv, as described above, teaches a method and apparatus for regulating transceiver power consumption in a communications network. Bar-Niv does not teach controlling the transceiver to transmit link determination signals to devices on the communications network when the transceiver is in a power-down mode. Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals [keep-alive packets, column 1, lines 25-29 and column 3, lines 40-42] to other devices on the network while in a powered down state [sleep state, column 1, lines 20-29 and column 3, lines 40-42].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Bar-Niv and Uppunda et al. Periodically transferring link signals from a first device that is in a sleep state to other devices on the network indicates to the other devices on the network that the first device is still connected to the network, even though it is idle [Uppunda et al., column 1, lines 20-29].

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al. further teaches exiting the sleep state only when wake up packets are received from other devices on the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then remaining in sleep mode if no wake packets have been received from the network.

Art Unit: 2116

Regarding claims 8 and 20, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in response to the link signals.

Regarding claims 9 and 21, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches periodically transferring link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep state. Uppunda et al. further teaches exiting the sleep state when wake up packets are received from other devices on the network [column 3, lines 48-56]. Therefore, Uppunda et al. teaches transmitting link signals to other devices on the network while in a sleep mode and then exiting the sleep mode when wake packets have been received from the network.

Regarding claims 10 and 22, Uppunda et al., as described above, teaches that, when in sleep mode, a first device periodically sends link signals to other devices on the network to indicate that it is still connected to the network. Uppunda et al. further teaches that before transferring data to the first device from a second device on the network, the second device must check that the first device is connected to the network [column 1, lines 12-25]. The second device only sends data to the first device when it is determined that the first device is connected to the network. Since the link signals are used to indicate to the network that the first

device is connected to the network, the second device would send data to the first device in response to the link signals.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1-12, the Applicant argues that Bar-Niv does not disclose detecting the presence or absence of a data signal received by a transceiver. However, Bar-Niv clearly discloses an energy detection system for determining whether a valid data signal is present on a communications line [column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 1 and column 4, lines 6-16]. As shown in Figure 1, the communications line [element 14] is coupled to the energy detection system [element 10] and the transceiver circuitry [element 32]. Therefore, Bar-Niv does disclose detecting the presence or absence of a data signal received by a transceiver.

Regarding claim 4, the Applicant argues that Bar-Niv does not disclose comparing a received data signal from the communication network with a reference signal. However, Bar-Niv clearly discloses a method for determining whether signal data exists on a communication line, including:

receiving a signal from the line;

measuring a level of the received signal at a plurality of predetermined times;

comparing the measured levels with predetermined reference levels so as to identify a plurality of valid data pulses;

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859

Art Unit: 2116

measuring a time interval between two or more of the valid data pulses; and determining that the valid pulses represent signal data responsive to the measured time interval [column 2, lines 52-64]. Bar-Niv does disclose comparing a received data signal from the communication network with a reference signal.

Page 8

Regarding claims 13-24, the Applicant argues that Bar-Niv fails to disclose or suggest a data received monitor on the transceiver to detect the presence or absence of a received data signal. However, Bar-Niv clearly discloses an energy detection system for determining whether a valid data signal is present on a communications line [column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 1 and column 4, lines 6-16]. As shown in Figure 1, the communications line [element 14] is coupled to the energy detection system [element 10] and transceiver circuitry [element 32]. Therefore, Bar-Niv does disclose detecting the presence or absence of a received data signal.

The Applicant also argues that Bar-Niv fails to disclose or suggest a transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption in response to the presence or absence of data received. However, Bar-Niv clearly discloses an energy-on state machine that makes a decision when to power down the transceiver [column 2, lines 33-45]. The energy-on state machine is responsive to the presence or absence of a valid data signal on the communications line [column 5, lines 60-65 and column 6, lines 21-32]. Therfore, Bar-Niv does disclose a transceiver state machine to regulate transceiver power consumption in response to the presence or absence of data received.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859 Page 9

Art Unit: 2116

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,859

Art Unit: 2116

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Yanchus October 18, 2004

LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER,3600